

19991128.qrp v01_n653.qrl.991128

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 19:03:04 EST

From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: QRP-L digest 1653

QRP-L Digest 1653

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [56890] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW band
by Dave Sjolín <sjolin@swbell.net>
- 2) [56891] CQWW test
by Roger Hightower <n7kt@earthlink.net>
- 3) [56892] Re: CQWW test
by Larry Cahoon <wd3p@juno.com>
- 4) [56893] CQWW test
by "Wilford D. Lindsey" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>
- 5) [56894] Battery Power - Need Opinions
by REDSBOY@aol.com
- 6) [56895] Fox Log Correction
by REDSBOY@aol.com
- 7) [56896] CLUB: Iowa QRP Ames Event Recap
by "John Burnley" <burnleyia@home.com>
- 8) [56897] FS: Dunestar 300-7 40m inline filter
by Dave Fifield <fifield@pacbell.net>
- 9) [56898] FS: Wilderness Sierra/KC2/8 band modules
by Dave Fifield <fifield@pacbell.net>
- 10) [56899] FS: Aftronics Super SCAF
by Dave Fifield <fifield@pacbell.net>
- 11) [56900] FS: Wilderness Sierra & SCAF both sold already
by Dave Fifield <fifield@pacbell.net>
- 12) [56901] Re: Update on KI6DS
by "Donny" <dsirait@centrin.net.id>
- 13) [56902] US Phone on 40-meter CW band
by "Wilford D. Lindsey" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>
- 14) [56903] Final K0EVZ FOX log v. 1.1
by "Wilford D. Lindsey" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>
- 15) [56904] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
by Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
- 16) [56905] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW band
by Thomas Isgro <k8cz@concentric.net>
- 17) [56906] Trade: NC 20
by "Paul Christensen" <paulc@mediaone.net>
- 18) [56907] Iowa (IAQRP) trip report, de N6KR
by Wayne Burdick <n6kr@elecrafft.com>
- 19) [56908] Some thoughts on hotel room operation

- by Wayne Burdick <n6kr@elecraft.com>
- 20) [56909] Coax
by Charles Greene <cgreene@loa.com>
- 21) [56910] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
by "Mike Yettsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 22) [56911] Re: Coax
by Thomas Isgro <k8cz@concentric.net>
- 23) [56912] Re: Coax
by Charles Greene <cgreene@loa.com>
- 24) [56913] Re: Some thoughts on hotel room operation
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 25) [56914] Re: Coax
by Paul Maciel <pmaciel@inow.com>
- 26) [56915] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
by "Nick Yokanovich" <n0ny@toad.net>
- 27) [56916] FS: Argosy II 525D dig. display xcvr
by James Skalski <jskalski@localnet.com>
- 28) [56917] re: qrz cw vote
by "ke5tc" <ke5tc@crosstel.net>
- 29) [56918] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
by "Wilford D. Lindsey" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>
- 30) [56919] More loopy & general antenna stuff
by Nils R Young <nilsbull@juno.com>
- 31) [56920] G-QRP QSL Bureau - North American Branch
by David Gauding <david.gauding@bbs.galilei.com>
- 32) [56921] Fw: QRZ instant poll
by "Nick Yokanovich" <n0ny@toad.net>
- 33) [56922] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
by Larry Cahoon <wd3p@juno.com>
- 34) [56923] Re: QRZ instant poll
by "Nick Yokanovich" <n0ny@toad.net>
- 35) [56924] Re: RTTY/CW PC Interface Recommendations?
by kc8aon@juno.com
- 36) [56925] The "QRP Homebrewer" & CQC "Low Down"
by "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>
- 37) [56926] PROP: Rest of CQWW
by "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>
- 38) [56927] New E-mail address for N5NW
by martyw@tn.freei.net (Marty Watt)
- 39) [56928] Re: [Elecraft] Some thoughts on hotel room operation (K1JD/9)
by K1JD@aol.com
- 40) [56929] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
by Thomas Isgro <k8cz@concentric.net>
- 41) [56930] Re: qrz cw vote
by Thomas Isgro <k8cz@concentric.net>
- 42) [56931] Elecraft SSB mike question
by "Jeff Gold" <jeffmgold@hotmail.com>
- 43) [56932] White Mountain 20 mtr SSB transceiver and 20 watt amp kit for sale

- by "Jeff Gold" <jeffmgold@hotmail.com>
- 44) [56933] Re: What kit to build???
by Ed Vines <evines@garlic.com>
- 45) [56934] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW band
by Doug <doug@wtp.net>
- 46) [56935] Telex CB88 headset battery assistance
by "Dave Benham" <dodgeboy@mindspring.com>
- 47) [56936] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW band
by "Mike Yettsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 48) [56937] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
by "Ken Hanks" <ken.hanks@po.state.ct.us>
- 49) [56938] the 40m poll on QRZ
by Jeff Davis <jeff@n9avg.org>
- 50) [56939] re: US phone and CW band
by k5zty@juno.com

Date: Sat, 27 Nov 1999 18:18:38 -0600
From: Dave Sjolín <sjolin@swbell.net>
To: na5n@rt66.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56890] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW band
Message-ID: <384074DE.3D46F9D5@swbell.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Paul Harden, NA5N wrote:

> Interestingly, this question was posed to Riley Hollingsworth 2 weeks
> ago as he gave a talk at our local hamfest. He said that he hears
> complaints ALL THE TIME at hamfests about the encroachment of RTTY and
> digital modes into the CW segments of 20 and 40M, and what can be done
> to preserve the CW segments. He said while he hears these complaints
> all the time at hamfests, there are VERY few such complaints received
> by the Commission. Therefore, he said, it's important to voice such
> complaints (and observations) to the FCC to get it on record. He said
> every letter received at the commission *is* read and cataloged, and
> every tape recording of illegal/interfering comms on the ham bands *is*
> listened to, and has been used to identify and prosecute offenders.

Paul:

One reason FCC may have gotten few complaints is that operating rtty or
any of the other digital modes is not illegal anywhere CW is legal,
except 50.0 - 50.1 and 144.0 - 144.1 mhz. 7040 is perfectly legal.

It is not even bad practice to operate rtty 7030-7045 during a contest,

if working stations outside of Region 2. First station to use the frequency is the one to which that frequency belongs until that station relinquishes it. Those that jump on frequency and start calling cq with cw are the qrmers in this case and are the ones that will be fined if caught.

Petition for EXPANSION of band, not for division. If band gets divided, I can just about guarantee that it will be ssb that increases and cw/rtty will overlap even more. Maybe something will happen at the WARC confernece in 2002 or whenever that will help everyone.

73 de Dave, N0IT

Date: Sat, 27 Nov 1999 18:41:40 +0000
From: Roger Hightower <n7kt@earthlink.net>
To: QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [56891] CQWW test
Message-ID: <384025E4.2842641@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gang, this is FUN! If you haven't tried it, it's time to jump in. All bands I've tried (10-40M) are wide open, and there is a lot of good DX out there. Plenty of outstanding operators to dig our peanut-whistle signals out.

I've even gotten Ghana (9G5AA) on two bands, and I've never gotten them while running QR0.

One more day....don't pass up the chance to get some good QRP DX in the log.

--

73, de Roger, N7KT
qrp-l #62, NorCal #1099, Zombie #006
Mesa, AZ 85202

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 02:02:44 +0000
From: Larry Cahoon <wd3p@juno.com>
To: n7kt@earthlink.net, qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [56892] Re: CQWW test
Message-ID: <19991128.031623.16446.2.wd3p@juno.com>

On Sat, 27 Nov 1999 18:41:40 +0000 Roger Hightower <n7kt@earthlink.net> writes:

>Gang, this is FUN! If you haven't tried it, it's time to jump in.
>All

I second that - jump in, don't let the speed scare you, these guys want every QSO they can get.

>I've even gotten Ghana (9G5AA) on two bands, and I've never gotten
>them

So that where he is, I hadn't gotten around to looking him up. I'm just taking those who hear me - got him twice. And just to be the same I never got that one QRO either and I've got the 5BDXCC plague on the wall.

>One more day....don't pass up the chance to get some good QRP DX in

Stay up late and work some of them on 40 and 80 - this is a great place to catch AK and HI for 5BWAS.

73/72 de Larry.....WD3P in MD

Get the Internet just the way you want it.

Free software, free e-mail, and free Internet access for a month!

Try Juno Web: <http://dl.www.juno.com/dynoget/tagj>.

Date: Sat, 27 Nov 1999 22:27:56 -0500

From: "Wilford D. Lindsey" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>

To: "INTERNET:n7kt@earthlink.net" <n7kt@earthlink.net>

Cc: "W.D.(Doc)Lindsey/K0EVZ" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>, QRP-L Discussion Group <QRP-L@Lehigh.edu>

Subject: [56893] CQWW test

Message-ID: <199911272231_MC2-8ED0-6C24@compuserve.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset=us-ascii

Content-Disposition: inline

Roger:

Congrats, and I *also* bagged 9G5AA, but only on one band. Been getting lots of EU and JA and South America, but this one stands out. It's a new DXCC country for me, too. And you're right, DXing is comparatively easy during this contest.

Setup here is the K2 at 5 watts, and a newly-installed GAP Titan DX with its base at 7 feet (will put it up to 12 feet soon). Just got it finished today, just in time for WW. It has already been grabbing QSO's I could not get with the TNT/2 Windom at 51'. Glad to have it for DX.

GL in WW and during the FOX hunts.

72,

--Doc Lindsey/K0EVZ

DSBF

PO BOX 6028

Bismarck, ND 58506

K0EVZ@arrl.net

Date: Sat, 27 Nov 1999 23:13:05 EST
From: REDSBOY@aol.com
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56894] Battery Power - Need Opinions
Message-ID: <0.1c0df5f2.257205d1@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I would appreciate any opinions/info/experiences/etc. regarding the "Power Station" sold by The Ham Contact, Westminster, CA.

I want an easily portable unit to take in my RV to power my NC-40A. I want it to be easily carried, easy to connect, easy to re-charge, and easy to use. Inexpensive is another nice word. (Cheap would be even better, but I can't seem to find that section in any catalog.)

If you can help me, please e-mail me directly. I surely will appreciate it.

Regards.

Karl - W4UTI

Date: Sat, 27 Nov 1999 23:56:27 EST
From: REDSBOY@aol.com
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56895] Fox Log Correction
Message-ID: <0.6801258b.25720ffb@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I need to request a correction to a Fox Log. I have e-mailed the Fox a couple of times but I guess he is not getting my e-mail. Is there another avenue for requesting a correction? Please e-mail me if you can make any suggestions.

Thanks

Karl - W4UTI

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 01:08:16 -0600
From: "John Burnley" <burnleyia@home.com>
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [56896] CLUB: Iowa QRP Ames Event Recap
Message-ID: <001501bf396f\$5850b2e0\$1b790818@c149552-a.west1.ia.home.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The Iowa QRP Club held a special meeting on Tuesday November 23, 1999 in Ames, Iowa at the Pizza Hut. Our special guest was Wayne Burdick N6KR. Wayne is an avid QRP'er and has designed many great QRP rigs (SST, Sierra, NorCal 40) plus he was on the design team for the K2. Wayne is also one of the key people at Elecraft (who markets the K2).

In attendance were Wayne N6KR, Jerry WB0T, Matthew KC0AYG, Mike N0MF, Larry WB0RMT (and XYL), Dan KB0JUL, Mike KC0GGA, John NN0F, and John NU0V. Mike N0MF brought along his Red Hot 20, DSW 40, 15 meter punch pad SST, and a 10 meter punch pad SST. John NU0V brought along a KnightSmite, Pixie II, Tick Keyer, and a ZM-1 antenna tuner with LED indicator installed. Larry brought his 'just delivered' DSW kit (still in the packing) along with his hand punch to demo Manhattan punching.

But the best was the K2 (serial number 1) brought by Wayne himself. This K2 also has the autotuner installed (the only one on the planet at this point). Wayne brought a portable antenna but we were in the basement of the Pizza Hut so conditions were not ideal for a QSO (but not for a lack of trying). The attendees also enjoyed some Pizza and great QRP fellowship. Wayne was a bit under the weather but you would never have known it.

He is pretty tireless when it comes to spreading the good word. He demo'd the K2 and let us each have a spin at old #1.

After the QRPizza we retired to the ISU campus shack with Dan KB0JUL and Mike KC0GGA as our hosts. The ISU Club has a beam mounted on the 5th floor of one of the buildings. Even with the beam pointing west, Wayne had a solid QSO with a chap in NJ (off the back of the beam). He even got ZD8A off the back of the beam in a CW QSO. Jerry WB0T, Matthew KC0AYG, Dan KB0JUL, and John NU0V also made contacts. I must tell you the K2 was a real treat to operate. Wow what a rig! As you can guess, I've been really hinting to the XYL that an Elecraft delivery would be a great XMAS gift. During the entire time Wayne showed us the various functions of the rig and gave us operating hints when on the air. He is a true gentleman and my thanks to him for allowing us to get some hands-on experience with this great rig. Also my thanks to Dan and Mike for arranging for us to get out of the cold into the ISU building.

It was a great time and my thanks to all for attending (plus a little thanks for some great WX for all the people traveling).

72, John NU0V

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 00:24:27 -0800
From: Dave Fifield <fifield@pacbell.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56897] FS: Dunestar 300-7 40m inline filter
Message-ID: <033801bf3979\$fcabb240\$0100a8c0@pacbell.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Clearing out shack - first of many items to come.

Qty. 1. Dunestar 300-7 40m inline filter - ideal for field-day to cut down harmonic interference

between stations. Check out the specs and new price at:

<http://www.dunestar.com/dunestar/model300.htm>

Only used for one field day - in perfect condx, as new.

\$35 shipped conus.

72, Dave Fifield, AD6A

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 00:49:21 -0800
From: Dave Fifield <fifield@pacbell.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56898] FS: Wilderness Sierra/KC2/8 band modules
Message-ID: <034a01bf397d\$76c9dfe0\$0100a8c0@pacbell.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

For Sale:

Wilderness Radio Sierra with KC2 (Wilderness Radio front panel), ABX, 8 band modules (80,40,30,20,17,15,12,10m).

Several mods done:

Paddle input jack added to rear panel

Paddle dit/dah changeover switch added to rear panel

RX toroid mod done - xtal filter input matching

TX output stage modified:

- Driver stage current/gain adjusted for optimum
- Larger L10
- 2SC1969 PA mounted on rear panel
- increased output on all bands except 10m
- 10m output low/not stable - needs work

Sidetone mod (2N7000 switch) done

Flip-down tilt/riser feet fitted to front underside - raises rig front panel to just the right height/angle.

All mod wiring done with neat thin white teflon coated wire

Overall, this is a cleanly built and modified radio - no scratches or dents - looks very nice and works well. Digital pictures available on request, but by the time you get them, it'll probably be sold!

This little lot would be over \$520 new - I'll take \$350. Shipping to conus included. First come first serve.

72, Dave Fifield, AD6A

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 01:15:01 -0800
From: Dave Fifield <fifield@pacbell.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56899] FS: Aftronics Super SCAF
Message-ID: <035601bf3981\$4bd9e9c0\$0100a8c0@pacbell.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

For Sale:

Aftronics Inc. Super SCAF - switched capacitor AF filter. 115V ac operation on built-in power supply - cord permanently connected to unit. Independently settable high-pass and low-pass frequencies using 2 digit thumbwheel switches for each. Power ON/OFF switch, Filter IN/OUT switch and 0.25" headphone jack on front panel. Input and output RCA jacks, ac cord and fuse on the rear. Case is two tone brown - original.

Works well. Clean unit with some minor surface scratching on the left hand side panel (paint not broken).

\$50 including shipping to conus.

72, Dave Fifield, AD6A

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 01:37:09 -0800
From: Dave Fifield <fifield@pacbell.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56900] FS: Wilderness Sierra & SCAF both sold already
Message-ID: <038801bf3984\$2470c5e0\$0100a8c0@pacbell.net>

MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Sorry guys - the above two items are sold already. You can still email me and I'll take a list incase the first buyer flakes (rare on qrp-l...) if you like.

72, Dave Fifield, AD6A

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 18:56:25 +0700
From: "Donny" <dsirait@centrin.net.id>
To: <na5n@rt66.com>
Cc: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56901] Re: Update on KI6DS
Message-ID: <005001bf399a\$e3eefb60\$caf192ca@donny>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dear Paul,

----- Original Message -----
From: Paul Harden, NA5N <na5n@rt66.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 1999 05:28
Subject: Update on KI6DS

> Gang,
> Had a nice talk with Doug last night on the phone.

<snip>

> with Doug. It is appreciated beyond words. The total energy output of
> this group exceeded QRP levels, which Doug received and was a pertinent

Not only QRP but even QRO, please count also the silent members who pray silently for Doug's health. Even this is a bit late I think one of the many thankfull thought of this list member on thanksgiving day is for the recovery of Doug's health.

> part of his recovery. And I'm sure if another one of our brothers or

> sisters needs our help in the future, this group will generate just
> as much support and energy again to help him or her along.

You can count on it Paul.

Happy Advent and have a nice time for the X'mas celebration.

>

> 72, Paul NA5N

>

Take care Paul and God bless you

vy 72/73 de Donny YB6LD/1

Bekasi Indonesia

>

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 09:01:51 -0500
From: "Wilford D. Lindsey" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>
To: "INTERNET:hrg@megsinet.net" <hrg@megsinet.net>
Cc: "W.D.(Doc)Lindsey/K0EVZ" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>, QRP-L Discussion Group
<QRP-L@Lehigh.edu>
Subject: [56902] US Phone on 40-meter CW band
Message-ID: <199911280906_MC2-8EA7-9AB3@compuserve.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

Mike:

Thanks for this invaluable note. I just went and "voted", and found the process extremely quick and easy. Here's hoping that *all* of us will take time to state our opinion.

FOX Soap Box--Can anyone really imagine the FOX hunt under conditions like K0EVZ had to contend with last Wednesday evening? SSB was a killer that evening, and cost several hunters their pelts--I just couldn't pull them out of the QRM ;^(. Sure hope that we will always have at least as much BW as we do not.

Anyway, EVERYONE go to www.qrz.com and express your opinion. The survey appears on the first page on the right hand side near the top. All you have to do is enter a check mark for "Yes" or "No" and then click on one more button to add your vote to the total. It was running about 2:1 when I

was online earlier.

72,

--Doc Lindsey/K0EVZ

DSBF

PO BOX 6028

Bismarck, ND 58506

K0EVZ@arrl.net

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 09:01:53 -0500

From: "Wilford D. Lindsey" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>

To: QRP-L Discussion Group <QRP-L@Lehigh.edu>, Bruce Rattray <rattray@gpfn.sk.ca>, Paul Valko <prvalko@oakland.edu>

Cc: "W.D.(Doc)Lindsey/K0EVZ" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>

Subject: [56903] Final K0EVZ FOX log v. 1.1

Message-ID: <199911280904_MC2-8EA7-9AB4@compuserve.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset=us-ascii

Content-Disposition: inline

Paul and Gang:

Here is the corrected log. Have corrected typos from the earlier edition.

Hope it will be easier for you to read this time. Thanks again for the

privilege of serving as a FOX this season :-)

TIME	CALL	Given	Rcvd	RST State	Name	Nr
0101	N1FN	559	579	CO	ET (sic)	153
0102	NV4V	559	569	KY	Pete	1721
0103	N9AW	559	579	WI	Jerry	1271
0104	W0CH	569	569	MO	Dave	619
0105	AB7CE	559	599	MT	Roy	1494
0106	K0PC	569	579	MN	Pat	1964
0108	AA0ZZ	569	579	MN	Craig	1238
0109	AE4Y	559	559	GA	Kent	1844
0110	W0AV	559	599	MO	George	1866
0111	K1JD	559	599	RI	John	1945
0112	K5ZTY	589	559	TX	Bill	473
0115	N6WG	559	559	CA	Bob	26
0117	AA5TA	559	559	TX	Larry	1245
0116	K1MG	569	569	CA	Mike	614

0120	W5TB	569	559	TX	Doc	673
0121	W8SFF	569	559	MI	Steve	1288
0122	AF5Z	559	579	TX	Bob	984
0123	KI7MN	559	579	AZ	Bob	271
0124	VE3JC	559	549	ON	John	744
=====						
0125	N4ROA	559	559	VA	Dan	970
0126	W5HNS	559	559	TX	Henry	178
0127	AE2T	559	559	NY	Al	
1664						
0128	W0RW	559	599	CO	Paul	1284
0129	N7GS	569	579	MT	Mal	815
0130	K2UD	559	559	NY	Howard	1535
0131	WE6W	559	559	CA	Ed	1068
0133	K8CV	559	559	MI	Walt	935
0134	AB0GO	579	599	CO	Dave	785
0135	N0EA	589	599	MO	Wayne	1058
=====						
0135	N0DT	579	559	MO	Dan	1004
0138	N3BJ	559	559	VA	Alan	34
0139	N5LU	569	579	OK	Bill	2009
0141	N0AR	569	559	MN	Scott	1455
0142	NQ7X	559	559	AZ	Floyd	345
0143	KI0II	559	579	CO	Ron	928
0144	NK6A	559	549	CA	Don	1517
0145	NW7DX	559	559	WA	Ben	1892
0147	WS4S	559	439	TN	Conard	993
0149	AB7MY	549	559	AZ	Gary	571
=====						
0150	K5NZ	559	569	TX	Mike	2018
0152	AB5UA	559	559	OK	Clif	478
>>>Changed from Bazooka to Loop<<<						
0153	N0EHW	569	559	MO	Tim	2047
0154	W0JOE	579	559	MO	Joe	1901
0158	K5AAR	579	559	OK	Don	1512
0159	N1LN	559	579	TX	Bruce	2049
0200	KU7Y	559	579	NV	Ron	17
0202	KE5TC	569	559	OK	Roy	1057
0203	KI0G	559	559	CO	Bob	239
0205	VE5RC	569	559	SK	Bruce	886
=====						
>>>QSY to 7.044.1<<<						
0208	AB8DF	569	559	MI	Ed	1444
0209	N0LN	569	559	CO	Bob	1789
0210	K5LN	569	559	TX	Bill	1794
0212	W5YR	559	559	TX	Geo	1373
0213	VE7CQK	579	559	BC	Paul	20
0214	W0RSP	599	599	SD	Ade	661

0215	N8IE	589	559	OH	Dan	1404
0217	N8VAR	579	559	OH	Ron	263
0218	N2SMH	559	449	NJ	David	74
0220	K5JHP	569	559	TX	Bill	825
=====						
0222	N5JI	559	559	TX	Rich	1054
0222	AF4PS	559	559	FL	Mac	704
0224	WD8KQY	559	559	OH	Gary	446
0225	KK5LD	579	559	TX	Dan	205
0227	N1TP	569	449	FL	Tom	1317
0228	W5TFB	579	449	TX	Jack	282
0229	NT1R	559	559	ME	Bill	912
>>>Switched back to Bazooka<<<						
0230	N6XA	559	579	CA	Stan	66
0231	K5OI	559	559	NM	Tim	73
0233	N5IB	569	559	LA	Jim	1913
=====						
0234	N0UR	589	599	MN	Jim	799
0235	KD5CMN	559	449	TX	Mike	1328
0237	VE6EWM	559	579	AB	Earl	1076
0238	K5VUU	579	559	TX	Ed	1343
0241	K5DW	559	559	TX	Don	2083
0242	NA6E	579	559	CA	Mary	1779
0244	NM5M	559	559	TX	Eric	1824
0245	K5UP	559	559	OK	Glen	21
0247	K0YWD	559	579	MT	Skip	2003
0249	W2UX	559	579	SC	Gary	593
=====						
0251	KA5T	579	559	TX	Larry	89
0252	KB9BVN	569	559	IN	Brian	1540
0254	AJ4Y	569	449	FL	Paul	1795
0256	AA2PF	549	559	NY	Dave	306

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 06:06:51 -0800 (PST)
 From: Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
 To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
 Subject: [56904] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
 Message-ID: <Pine.GS0.4.10.9911280556140.15127-1000000@rotor.dri.edu>
 MIME-Version: 1.0
 Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Hi All,

Intresting to look at the results from all the other questions.

Very strange. You see that most of the voters are not CW folks. They want CW either removed or reduced.

They also like very strong government control even to the point of having to have a license to buy radios!

But the bottom line is easy to see.....

40m used to be 100% CW. No phone allowed.

But as the "appliance" operators became a larger group and had a louder voice, phone was introduced there. That is when 40m started it's downhill slide.

If there is anyone alive that thinks reducing the CW requierments will not have a negative impact on our CW portions of the bands, maybe this will be your wake up call.

As more and more hams are licensed with less and less CW this cry will only increase.

This is just a little look into the future!

OK, back in my hole.....

73, Ron, SOWP 5545M,

.....KU7Y.....ARCI #8829.....Monte "Ron" Stark.....
....ku7y@dri.edu....Washoe Lake, Nevada....NRA LIFE....

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 09:28:35 -0500
From: Thomas Isgro <k8cz@concentric.net>
To: 70511.3041@compuserve.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56905] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW band
Message-ID: <38413C13.406D@concentric.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Wilford et al:

I Just checked and the rate is now down to 66%. Keep up the good work.

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 09:40:48 -0500
From: "Paul Christensen" <paulc@mediaone.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56906] Trade: NC 20
Message-ID: <033201bf39ae\$8f9eb960\$f5588118@se.mediaone.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Looking to trade my 95% complete, carefully constructd NC 20 for a Red Hot Radio 40 or DSW20. The NC 20 comes with Hauff's engraved black anodized case and looks great. The only thing left to complete on the NC 20 is the toroid winding. Thanks!

-Paul

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 07:28:05 -0800
From: Wayne Burdick <n6kr@elecraaft.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Cc: burnleyia@home.com, mjfitz@uswest.net, elecraaft@qth.net
Subject: [56907] Iowa (IAQRP) trip report, de N6KR
Message-ID: <v03102802b466e57c3c0d@[206.169.225.19]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

My annual trip to Iowa to see the YL's family included the usual great home cooking, etc. But it was even better this year because I got a chance to hang out with the Iowa QRP Club folks. If you find yourself in the heartland, do stop by for some of their hospitality!

We had a nice turnout for a NorCal-style Pizza/homebrew event in Ames, organized by club president John Burnley, NU0V (burnleyia@home.com). John has put together a web site (www1.iastate.edu/~drcase/iowaqrp.html) and newsletter for the club. The Fall 1999 newsletter has a great collection of well-written articles, including a DDS primer by Jeff Woods, W00DS, and John's own experimental results with "fractal" antennas (!). The 32-page, 8.5x11" effort is impressive given the club's small size--just over 100 members. (Somehow I stumbled into IAQRP #100. Good timing, I guess :)

Among the interesting homebrew gear to be seen was perhaps the world's only 10-meter SST, built by Mike Fitzgibbon, N0MF (mjfitz@uswest.net). Mike's SST is based on the Wilderness Radio design but uses several small "alternative construction" PC boards and some extra RF stages to boost sensitivity. A full write-up on this rig appears in the same IAQRP newsletter.

The highlight of the evening was our visit to the Iowa State radio club station. We even had one ISU student with us, adding a certain amount of legitimacy to the operation. Having struck out in trying to make contacts from the basement of the Pizza Hut using the 15 m whip, we were now able to connect my K2 to a serious antenna (a three-element beam on the roof of the hall--so what if it was stuck pointing NW?). This gave the younger members of the QRP club a chance to try their hand at QRP SSB. We also worked ZD8Z off the back of the beam using CW/split. All contacts were made using internal battery.

If you find yourself in the heartland, do stop by for some IAQRP hospitality!

73,
Wayne
N6KR

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 07:19:05 -0800
From: Wayne Burdick <n6kr@elecraft.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Cc: burnleyia@home.com, mjfitz@uswest.net, elecraft@qth.net
Subject: [56908] Some thoughts on hotel room operation
Message-ID: <v03102803b466f25741aa@[206.169.225.19]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

We were on the 7th floor of the Holiday Inn for our trip to Iowa this year, and this elevation seemed to help when I rigged for "stealth" operation (i.e., Lillian and baby were off visiting grandma). We were so loaded down with baby-related gear, I was only able to bring a K2, mic, and a very tiny 15-meter Mizuho telescoping whip. I'll have to try Vern Wright's neat little sliding-coil whip antenna on the Christmas trip to San Diego.

I had no way to get the whip outside, so I simply connected it to the back of the rig and hoped for the best. Since I had an internal auto-tuner, getting a 1:1 match was painless. However, I experimented with several different ground radial configurations, and confirmed what I'd learned from previous field operations: with a poor antenna, the ground system is the

deciding factor! Here are the configurations I tried:

- (1) No radials: forget it! Poor match, and you can feel RF on the mic.
- (2) One 25' (untuned) radial: better match, but still some RF tingle.
- (3) Two tuned (11') radials: great match, no RF problems.

In case (3), the radials were deployed at 90-degree angles along two walls, with the operating position in one corner.

Fortunately, an "RF tingle" at 5 watts is unlikely to be fatal, except for the microscopic dust-mites hitch-hiking on your lip. But it *is* disconcerting and should be avoided. It probably indicates that the rig is part of the antenna, a situation that could lead to RF feedback into the mic or other circuitry. I think this issue has received too little attention, but that is likely to change as QRP SSB operation becomes more popular. (A mic is much more sensitive to RF problems than a key!)

To eliminate the RF on the chassis and mic in case (2) above, I inserted an RF isolation choke between the rig and the antenna/ground system. This completely cured the RF problem, and as a bonus allowed me to match the whip on 40-10 meters despite the radial being nonresonant on every band. The RF isolation choke was made using a binocular core with a few turns of #24 enamel wire (twisted pair), although this could be improved upon by using RG174 coax and a larger core. (I'm working on an isolation choke of this type and will post complete construction details.)

Of course the whip had less than exciting performance on the lower bands, but on 15 meters I managed to bag Argentina and Uruguay, each on one call using 5 watts. Not bad for an indoor whip!

One last comment: Connecting a whip directly to the back of the rig should only be done in a pinch. (Exception: Eric Swartz, WA6HHQ does most of his operating from Chinese restaurant tables and has no choice ;) The weight of the whip puts excessive stress on the BNC connector, especially if the tilt-stand is used.

73,
Wayne
N6KR

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 10:57:04 -0500
From: Charles Greene <cgreene@loa.com>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU

Subject: [56909] Coax
Message-ID: <4.1.19991128105335.0095bd20@mail.loa.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Does anyone have the specs on RG-316? It is a small
50 ohm coax. I want to know what it's velocity factor and
loss characteristics are. I'm hoping it is better for winding
a balun than RG-174, which is about the same size, but
which has high losses.

Tnx

Chas, W1CG

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 11:10:34 -0500
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56910] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
Message-ID: <007401bf39bb\$326969e0\$de6efea9@dads-hp>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>They also like very strong government control even to
>the point of having to have a license to buy radios!
>
>But the bottom line is easy to see.....
>
>40m used to be 100% CW. No phone allowed.
>
>But as the "appliance" operators became a larger group
>and had a louder voice, phone was introduced there. That
>is when 40m started it's downhill slide.
>
>If there is anyone alive that thinks reducing the CW
>requierments will not have a negative impact on our CW
>portions of the bands, maybe this will be your wake up
>call.
>
>As more and more hams are licensed with less and less CW
>this cry will only increase.
>
>73, Ron, SOWP 5545M,

I think you're right Ron, but it's not the CW requirement that's at issue. It's the constant bickering over whether it SHOULD be an issue. The constant bickering that always seems to deteriorate into name calling and one side referring to the other as CBers and Appliance Operators and the other side calling back as elitist snobs and no-nothings.

What it's doing is showing new HAMs that CW is a controversy to be avoided. So they avoid it, and as a result either condemn it or at best decide it's a detriment to the hobby just from the controversy.

And sorry to say, your lumping non-CW people by implication with 'appliance operators' rubs me raw. I've built a lot of my station. I've built from bare PCBs converters for 2.3Gig, and PLL systems. I've built my own 2M SSB transverter from a bag of parts and blank PC boards, making one of the three boards in my transverter myself, my own design, from scratch, with the other two Hamtronics kits from articles in the ARRL handbook.

And I'm sick of the CW anti-CW controversy. I haven't run CW seriously (when I used my Swan with a straight key. Heck, I've NEVER even had a mic for it!) since 1985, and not at all since about 1992 or so, when I played some on 10M with my HTX-100.

And you know what? Seeing this fight continue doesn't make me want to get my old key out, it makes me want to fight to end it. Just because I'm sick of the belly-aching. Of the implied "You're an appliance operator", of the implicit snobbery of your post. And your post prompted me to send off another letter to the ARRL, the FCC, and NCI in support of that.

Just think. When CW goes as a requirement, and it will eventually, how will it be handled? Will the CW subbands continue to exist and be protected? Or will the backlash from this controversy cause CW protected areas to be cut, and eventually minimized as much as possible? I know what "I" think will happen.

Look at it with an open mind. Not with arrogant snobbery, elitism, or with paranoia, but realistically. And see the destructiveness of post like yours. And decide what you can do to bring people in that will ENJOY CW, and give justification to keeping the protected areas. Or do you want to be a martyr for the cause as it's flushed down the toilet?

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 11:18:27 -0500
From: Thomas Isgro <k8cz@concentric.net>
To: cgreene@loa.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56911] Re: Coax
Message-ID: <384155D3.1541@concentric.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Chas,

Did a search on the Belden Cable site and found nothing on RG-316. Who is the manufacturer?

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 11:41:14 -0500
From: Charles Greene <cgreene@loa.com>
To: k8cz@concentric.net, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56912] Re: Coax
Message-ID: <4.1.19991128113516.009989d0@mail.loa.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

At 11:18 AM 11/28/99 -0500, Thomas Isgro wrote:

>Chas,

>

>Did a search on the Belden Cable site and found nothing on RG-316. Who

>is the manufacturer?

Tnx Tom,

I can't tell. The coax has no markings. It just has a tag on the roll that says Teflon RG-316/U, 50 ohms. I have heard of RG 306 and it looks the same, but the RG316 is pretty stiff. Some of these are used for cellular phone mobile installations.

>

Try PSK31. Check: <http://aintel.bi.ehu.es/psk31.html>
and May 99 QST. K2 S/N 462.

Chas, W1CG

Rhode Island TCPIP Address Coordinator
w1cg@arrl.net

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:12:19 -0500
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
To: n6kr@elecraft.com
Cc: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56913] Re: Some thoughts on hotel room operation
Message-ID: <38416273.4D31@erols.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Wayne,

Nice story, but, ummmm, did you work anyone>

73

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 09:15:35 -0800
From: Paul Maciel <pmaciel@inow.com>
To: cgreene@loa.com, Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56914] Re: Coax
Message-ID: <38416337.E4DFE6C5@inow.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Chas,

The Davis RF page lists Belden 84316 as an RG316 equivalent. Going to the Belden page [<http://www.belden.com>] the velocity factor is 69.5% and at 10Mhz the loss is 2.7dB/100ft.

Hope that helps.

72,

---Paul AK1P San Jose, CA

Charles Greene wrote:

```
>
> At 11:18 AM 11/28/99 -0500, Thomas Isgro wrote:
> >Chas,
> >
> >Did a search on the Belden Cable site and found nothing on RG-316. Who
> >is the manufacturer?
>
> Tnx Tom,
>
> I can't tell. The coax has no markings. It just has a tag on the roll that
> says Teflon RG-316/U, 50 ohms. I have heard of RG 306 and it looks
> the same, but the RG316 is pretty stiff. Some of these are used for
> cellular phone mobile installations.
> >
>
> -----
> Try PSK31. Check: http://aintel.bi.ehu.es/psk31.html
> and May 99 QST. K2 S/N 462.
>
> Chas, W1CG
>
> Rhode Island TCPIP Address Coordinator
> w1cg@arrl.net
> -----
```

```
-----
Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:18:08 -0500
From: "Nick Yokanovich" <n0ny@toad.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Cc: <fists@qth.net>
Subject: [56915] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
Message-ID: <002301bf39c4$8ba23560$ef6e96d1@default>
```


MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I am asking the qrz.com folks if they allow each person to vote one time only or if a vote can be registered each time the site is visited.

Jeff Davis got it right when he wrote:

>It makes for interesting conversation, but given that only about 40% of
>all US hams are on the Internet, and only a tiny fraction of those even
>know about the poll on qrz.com, no one should *assume* that the poll is
>the least bit accurate or reflective of amateur will.

>

>Let's take it in the spirit with which it was posted; it's just a way
>for qrz.com to drive a little more traffic to their Web site. Nothing
>more.

Nick K3NY Arnold, MD

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:36:38 -0500 (EST)
From: James Skalski <jskalski@localnet.com>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [56916] FS: Argosy II 525D dig. display xcvr
Message-ID:
<Pine.LNX.4.20.9911281223100.1922-1000000@valhalla.valhalla.buffalo.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On to other things. This is the switchable 5W/50W CW/SSB Digital display unit from Ten Tec. Very smooth QSK operation that ten tec is known for.

Covers:

3.5
7.0
10
21
28
28.5

Included is the ten tec matching power supply, wide narrow audio filter

and a rare "speaking digital display" that can announce your frequency by voice. Nice rig for the ham that wants to run QRP and have the extra power for poor conditions. \$425 shipped in US.

73,

Jim n2go

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 11:52:12 -0600
From: "ke5tc" <ke5tc@crosstel.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56917] re: qrz cw vote
Message-ID: <000901bf39c9\$4f1be0a0\$cd6c1ece@computer1>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Nick wrote:

I am asking the qrz.com folks if they allow each person to vote one time only or if a vote can be registered each time the site is visited.

I just voted "no" 4 times each time hitting back button and results displayed changed from x39 to x43. I guess one can make results anything he/she wants, if you have the time to play with it....

Royce ke5tc -- Keota, OK.

NorCal - CQC - FSQRPG

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:49:34 -0500
From: "Wilford D. Lindsey" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>
To: "INTERNET:ku7y@dri.edu" <ku7y@dri.edu>
Cc: "W.D.(Doc)Lindsey/K0EVZ" <70511.3041@compuserve.com>, QRP-L Discussion Group <QRP-L@Lehigh.edu>
Subject: [56918] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
Message-ID: <199911281251_MC2-8EA7-9E57@compuserve.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Type: text/plain;

charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

Ron and Gang:

Sure hope everyone will take this poll seriously. It may not prove to be influential in the final analysis, but why take a chance, I wonder? Seems to me that apathy could well be the final blow to our hobby, unless all of us stay informed and take action.

Soapbox--please take time to register your opinion, and ask all CW operators you know of to browse the web for themselves.
The URL again is www.qrz.com.

Note to all QRP clubs--contact all your members and ask them to take part in the survey. We are a fairly small group, so each vote counts.

Soapbox #2--do this now. Let's don't let this get too old.

Soapbox #3--thanks in advance.

GL es 72,
--Doc Lindsey/K0EVZ
DSBF
PO BOX 6028
Bismarck, ND 58506
K0EVZ@arrl.net

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:47:55 -0500
From: Nils R Young <nilsbull@juno.com>
To: QRP-L@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56919] More loopy & general antenna stuff
Message-ID: <19991128.124807.-783739.0.nilsbull@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gang,

Ok, now it's the Sonny Stitt CD . . . lots of saxophonists on this one. Andy wants me to take him to visit a friend. Finally got his homework done . . . what with four days to do it, it took a while. Admonitions of the old guy.

I was out in the shack since about 9 a.m. local, listening around on 15 & 10, trying to figure out how I was gonna run that copper pipe loop that

I'd been fiddling with before the SSB & 160m options arrived. Still ain't too sure about it. Got a cable through the back wall of the outhouse & up to the loop. Scobbed the drive motor from the vertical tuner (never really worked that great anyway) & posted the beast in the shed, against the back wall.

Probably have about 75 ft of cable run between the radio & the loop. It's about 6 ft from the front wall to the back, barely enough room to lay down in. So there's too much coax. It does tune with the little motor, but much much too sharp for me. Be better if I could hand tune it. Like climb up on the ladder & mess with it every time. So I used the motor.

By the time I got this far, most of the serious Eu DX had gone on to California & I was hearing folks in Chicago &c on 15 SSB. Serious bad timing.

The whole thing makes me more and more sure every day that I need to conn the family into getting me tower sections for Christmas. I can get underwear any time of the year, same with tools & other such junk. The tower is one of those "pleasant surprises" that I could smile at during Christmas & wait until spring to pile it all up on the base what's left from last year's experiment.

And rebuild the beam. Still waiting for those fiberglass pole pieces to show up. Pretty sure I sent the order. Better check my briefcase . . . things get lost in there.

The K2 10m frequency is occasionally active.

73

Nils

Nils R. Bull Young -- El Gringo Errante -- La Estancia de los Guajolotes
Sonrientes

<http://home.fiberia.com/wb8ijn> -- WB8IJN --

<http://members.xoom.com/nilsbull>

"In my day you had to FIGHT to have oligarchs! Every day was a STRUGGLE!

-- Comrade Sergei Nikolaevich McTovarishov --

Get the Internet just the way you want it.

Free software, free e-mail, and free Internet access for a month!

Try Juno Web: <http://dl.www.juno.com/dynoget/tagj>.

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:17:06 -0600

From: David Gauding <david.gauding@bbs.galilei.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56920] G-QRP QSL Bureau - North American Branch
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.19991128121706.00984870@bbs.galilei.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Howdy,

Just a reminder that North American members of the G-QRP Club can continue to send cards to NF0R. I forward cards in bulk to QSL Bureau Manager Bob Hudson, G4JFN for distribution.

Please do the following:

1. Put the receiving station's membership number on the top right hand corner of the card.
2. Sort the cards in ascending membership number order.
3. Do not include cards with no number or for non-members.

Send your cards to:

Dave Gauding, NF0R
14220 Tullytown Court
Chesterfield, MO 63017

The next shipment to the UK will be on January 15, 2000.

Regards,

de Dave, NF0R G-QRP #2588 nf0r@slacc.com

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 13:23:26 -0500
From: "Nick Yokanovich" <n0ny@toad.net>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>, <fists@qth.net>
Subject: [56921] Fw: QRZ instant poll
Message-ID: <001701bf39cd\$aaa6cbc0\$a37296d1@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Below is the response from QRZ.COM founder Fred Lloyd to my question about duplicative voting. He describes the poll as an entertainment.

This tells me the poll is only an attention-getter. Anyone who quotes its results either way should be challenged on the lack of scientific rigor of the polling method. The question asked doesn't have a simple yes or no answer anyway.

.

Nick K3NY Arnold, MD FISTS #3549

-----Original Message-----

From: Fred Lloyd <flloyd@qrz.com>
Date: Sunday, November 28, 1999 12:53
Subject: Re: QRZ instant poll

>
>The responses are de-duped through the use of IP address tracking.
>
>Dupes can occur once 100 votes have been taken. For example,
>if you vote now, you cannot vote again until 100 votes have been
>received from other people. This might be in 5 hours, or it might
>be in a day or two, depending on the rate at which unique visitors
>are voting.
>
>The trick is that the IP address list is a lookup table which has
>100 entries. I could make it larger but it kind of seems sufficient
>for an entertainment poll such as this.
>
>
>
>-fred
>
>
>> From: "Nick Yokanovich" <n0ny@toad.net>
>> To: <flloyd@qrz.com>
>> Subject: QRZ instant poll
>> Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:32:19 -0500
>> MIME-Version: 1.0

>> X-Priority: 3
>> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.2106.4
>>
>> Fred,
>> Can you tell me how the instant poll operates? Do you collect the
on-line
>> identity of the responder and "de-dupe" any subsequent responses that
>> person might give? Or is it a free-for-all which allows anyone to
connect
>> to the site many times and register many votes?
>>
73,
>Nick, K3NY
>
> -----
> | Fred Lloyd, AA7BQ Founder, QRZ.COM |
flloyd@qrz.com Scottsdale, AZ http://www.qrz.com
>

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 18:28:28 +0000
From: Larry Cahoon <wd3p@juno.com>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [56922] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
Message-ID: <19991128.183009.4286.4.wd3p@juno.com>

As one who has done survey work for 20+ years I am always offended by these kinds of poles. They are the absolute worst kind of thing to do. There is no way they can represent any opinion except the self selected group who answered the questions.

Jeff was 100% right it is QRZs effort to generate more traffic to the detriment of ham radio. They are promoting arguments for their benefit.

73 de Larry.....WD3P in MD

On Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:18:08 -0500 "Nick Yovanovich" <n0ny@toad.net> writes:

>I am asking the qrz.com folks if they allow each person to vote one
>time only or if a vote can be registered each time the site is
>visited.

>
>Jeff Davis got it right when he wrote:
>

>>It makes for interesting conversation, but given that only about 40%
>of
>>all US hams are on the Internet, and only a tiny fraction of those
>even
>>know about the poll on qrz.com, no one should *assume* that the poll
>is
>>the least bit accurate or reflective of amateur will.
>>
>>Let's take it in the spirit with which it was posted; it's just a way
>>for qrz.com to drive a little more traffic to their Web site. Nothing
>>more.
>-----
>Nick K3NY Arnold, MD
>
>

Get the Internet just the way you want it.
Free software, free e-mail, and free Internet access for a month!
Try Juno Web: <http://dl.www.juno.com/dynoget/tagj>.

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 13:44:41 -0500
From: "Nick Yokanovich" <n0ny@toad.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56923] Re: QRZ instant poll
Message-ID: <004b01bf39d0\$a2701b20\$a37296d1@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

When I subsequently asked Fred about Royce's experience in
upping the score artificially, he replied as below and said it is
time for a new question. It's time to whack this turkey.
Nick K3NY Arnold, MD FISTS Nr 3549

>It's possible. If the users ISP uses NAT (network Address translation)
>then they may have a different effective IP on each submit.
>
>Please tell all of the list users that one of the things that
>I rely on is the honesty of the amateur radio public at large.
>
>Taking that at face value, you can also decide how to interpret
>the polls when an emotional issue is raised.
>

>73,
>
>-fred

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 08:38:48 EST
From: kc8aon@juno.com
To: k6whp@gte.net, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [56924] Re: RTTY/CW PC Interface Recommendations?
Message-ID: <19991128.140541.4647.0.kc8aon@juno.com>

Hello Bill,
Sounds like you might have the shift set to wide, most amateur baudot is 170 hz shift, also in the tune mode, set your center frequency for 1360 hz on baudot and around 700 hz when operating cw. I originally had the Hamcom converter and had no problems copying RTTY with it. The only reason I switched to the BayPac was so that I could do packet with it. I guess I should add that it takes a fairly solid signal to decode RTTY with the Hamcom, it's not real good at pulling stations out of the noise. But, the little BayPac is a worthwhile investment if you want to do digital comms without spending a lot of greenbacks !

72.....Rick KC8AON <><

On Sat, 27 Nov 1999 19:14:54 -0800 "William Phinizy" <k6whp@gte.net> writes:
>Rick,
>
>Thanks for the advice. However, I still seem to get garbage characters
>when I try baudot. I do set it up as you described but it seems to
>make no difference. However, I notice that, in spectrum analyzer mode,
>as I tune the RTTY signal the shift seems to narrow and then cross
>over. I know it has the potential to decode RTTY because it seems to
>do o.k on CW (well, as good as can be expected using the kludged
>interface that is described in the HamCom documentation).
>
>I guess the BayPac is a worthwhile investment. I'll call them Monday.
>
>Thanks for the help and I'll let you know how I make out.
>
>72,
>
>Bill.
>
>-----Original Message-----

>From: kc8aon@juno.com <kc8aon@juno.com>
>To: k6whp@gte.net <k6whp@gte.net>; qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
>Date: Saturday, November 27, 1999 4:50 AM
>Subject: Re: RTTY/CW PC Interface Recommendations?
>
>
>|Make sure that you have the Hamcom software configured for reverse
>shift
>|and be sure to use lower sideband for amateur RTTY. Also, most
>amateur
>|RTTY is 170 hz shift, 45 baud. The Hamcom software also works great
>with
>|the BayPac Model BP-2M multimode controller. With the BayPac, you
>can
>|actually set it up to key the transmitter on and off in CW instead of
>|using modulated CW like the Hamcom interface uses, making it a very
>nice
>|"keyboard keyer". The only thing that you get with the registered
>|version that the shareware version doesn't have is Pactor listen
>mode,
>|and when you exit the program you don't get the lengthy "You must
>|register" message !
>|
>|72.....kc8aon
>|Rick McKee Willow Wood, Ohio <><
>|
>| "William Phinzy" <k6whp@gte.net> writes:
>| I got HamComm up and running in
>|receive mode using the bare bones interface.
>| Much to my dismay,the RTTY received was mostly gibberish.
>|
>|72 de k6whp
>|
>|-----
>|Get the Internet just the way you want it.
>|Free software, free e-mail, and free Internet access for a month!
>|Try Juno Web: <http://dl.www.juno.com/dynoget/tagj>.
>|
>

Get the Internet just the way you want it.
Free software, free e-mail, and free Internet access for a month!
Try Juno Web: <http://dl.www.juno.com/dynoget/tagj>.

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:19:16 -0700 (MST)

From: "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56925] The "QRP Homebrewer" & CQC "Low Down"
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.4.10.9911271614520.20759-100000@shell.rt66.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Gang,

Been taking advantage of a couple days off to catch up on some of my reading, mail, etc. (in addition to piddlin' around with CQWW).

First is receiving the CQC (Colorado QRP Club) LOW DOWN. This is always a nice journal. But of course the big news in this issue, which deserves a round of applause, is the fantastic job CQC did on this past Field Day with being NUMBER ONE in the country for 2A and 7th overall with a score of 15,355 pts., 1226 CW and 518 SSB QSO's, all QRP of course, using their club call W0CQC. Now there's an outstanding combination of some good QRP ops at work. Also, CQC had a great showing with their Novice station as well, using the call KA5WET. Great job, CQC!

CQC Low Down, Rich High, W0HEP, editor (W0HEP@arrl.net)
\$12/year membership/subscription ... website: www.cqc.org

Then read the QRP HOMEBREWER, the new journal from the NJQRP club. Wow, nice job. The content and quality kinda reminded me of the old "Hambrew" magazines. It contains numerous construction articles from EXACTLY how to make your own printed circuit boards (by Gary Diana N2GJU) to a neat tuner (by Dave Ottenberg WA2DJN) to of course gobs of info on the NJQRP "Fireball 40" kit (by Skip Arey N2EI) and even yet more Tuna Tin 2 updates (by Doug Hendricks KI6DS). Since I just moved into a new house out in the country and trying to figure out what my antenna farm is gonna look like someday, I found Joe Everhart's, N2CX, article on antennas to be very helpful. There is data on just about every conceivable antenna configuration, dimensions on building to on-the-air comparisons for local and DX usage, radiation patterns, etc. Real nice concise summary of antennas. With all the famous guys we see on qrp-l and with the NJQRP club working on this, it's bound to be a great new addition to QRP journals you should consider if you're interested in construction projects, practices and operating.

The new QRP HOMEBREW is \$15/year for quarterly issues. For more information, I guess you'd contact the editor, George Heron N2APB at n2apb@amsat.org

We are fortunate to have such great resources within our hobby, and people

willing to share it, and the CQC LOWDOWN and the NJQRP QRP HOMEBREW are certainly two good examples.

72, Paul NA5N

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 12:40:15 -0700 (MST)
From: "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56926] PROP: Rest of CQWW
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.4.10.9911281220330.24540-100000@shell.rt66.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Gang,
The CQWW contest, on-going as we speak (until 2400Z sun. nite) shows the high solar flux is indeed producing excellent propagation. I have worked China to Morocco on 10M in about an hour of piddling around.

Yesterday (saturday) the sun produced a major X-class flare and two M-class flares for high solar activity. However, their effects on the earth will not arrive until well after the contest.

FOR THE CONTEST ... solar flux will remain around 165 (169 saturday), which while dropping right now, still plenty of flux for good HF conditions. The only threatening condition is the possible arrival later today (sunday) of the particle radiation from an M-class flare and CME (coronal mass ejection) thursday. It will likely hit right around the end of the contest period, which may cause a geomagnetic disturbance (active to minor storm levels), which will primarily be noticed only on 40 and 80M as bursty static and high noise levels. But the upper bands should remain nice until the end of the contest.

Yesterday, 10M "shut-down" right at sun-down as one would expect, but 15M remained active well after sun-down. So those of you on the east coast US, where the contest runs a bit after sundown, 15M should continue to sport QSO's right up to the buzzer. And certainly 20M.

AFTER THE CONTEST ... I would expect conditions on 40M to be kinda rocky over the next few days as the effects of thursday's and saturday's X and M-class flares reaches us. The positions on the sun of these flares does not indicate we'll take a direct hit, but a mere glancing blow, but still,

sufficient to cause some disturbances to our magnetic field and elevated noise levels on the lower bands.

Piddle around with CQWW a bit ... you'll enjoy it. With QRP (and frankly, a little code speed - those ops are out for blood!), you should be able to work some impressive DX in as little as an hour on 10 and 15M. Conditions are generally pretty good right now.

GL, Paul NA5N

> JOINT USAF/NOAA REPORT OF SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY
> SDF NUMBER 331 ISSUED AT 2200Z ON 27 NOV 1999

> IA. ANALYSIS OF SOLAR ACTIVE REGIONS AND ACTIVITY FROM 26/2100Z
> TO 27/2100Z: SOLAR ACTIVITY CONTINUED AT HIGH LEVELS. REGION 8771
> (S14W78) PRODUCED AN X1/2B TENFLARE AT 27/1212Z. THIS REGION ALSO
> PRODUCED TWO OTHER SIGNIFICANT EVENTS - AN M2/1N AT 27/0301Z AND AN
> M1/1N AT 27/0549Z.

> IB. SOLAR ACTIVITY FORECAST: SOLAR ACTIVITY IS EXPECTED TO BE AT
> MODERATE TO HIGH LEVELS. REGION 8771 (S14W78) SHOULD CONTINUE
> PRODUCING M-CLASS ACTIVITY AS IT ROTATES AROUND THE WEST LIMB.

The closer to the limb activity occurs, the less effect it will have on the earth.

> AN ISOLATED MAJOR FLARE IS POSSIBLE.

> IIA. GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY SUMMARY FROM 26/2100Z TO 27/2100Z:
> THE GEOMAGNETIC FIELD WAS QUIET.

> IIB. GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY FORECAST: THE GEOMAGNETIC FIELD IS
> EXPECTED TO REMAIN AT QUIET LEVELS THROUGH DAY ONE, WITH UNSETTLED
> TO ACTIVE CONDITIONS LIKELY ON DAY TWO AND THREE. THERE IS POTENTIAL
> FOR A MINOR DISTURBANCE ON DAYS TWO AND THREE IN RESPONSE TO
> YESTERDAY'S PARTIAL HALO CORONAL MASS EJECTION OFF THE WEST LIMB. WE
> MAY ALSO HAVE TO CONTEND WITH THE EFFECTS OF A HIGH SPEED CORONAL
> HOLE STREAM BY DAY THREE.

Day 2= monday; day 3= tuesday

> IV. PENTICTON 10.7 CM FLUX
> OBSERVED 27 NOV 169
> PREDICTED 28 NOV-30 NOV 160/150/145
> 90 DAY MEAN 27 NOV 165

> V. GEOMAGNETIC A INDICES

> OBSERVED AFR/AP 26 NOV 002/004
> ESTIMATED AFR/AP 27 NOV 005/008 <--- fairly quiet conx saturday
> PREDICTED AFR/AP 28 NOV-30 NOV 007/010-015/015-015/015
 ^ ^ ^
 SUN MON TUES

A-index around 15 is ACTIVE (mildly disturbed) conditions

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 20:25:42 GMT
From: martyw@tn.freei.net (Marty Watt)
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56927] New E-mail address for N5NW
Message-ID: <38428f22.56262915@mail.tn.freei.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=46riends, please note the new e-mail address in any personal address =
book
entries you may have. The old address at earthlink no longer functions. =
The
new address is:

martyw@tn.freei.net

Or, N5NW@arrl.net also works.

Thanks!

Marty, N5NW
Memphis, Tennessee

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 15:37:00 EST
From: K1JD@aol.com
To: n6kr@elecraft.com, elecraft@qth.net, qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56928] Re: [Elecraft] Some thoughts on hotel room operation (K1JD/9)
Message-ID: <0.e44fa243.2572ec6c@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

We were on the 7th floor of the Holiday Inn for our trip to Iowa this year,

and this elevation seemed to help when I rigged for "stealth" operation

Wayne, Group:

re: K1JD/9 Chicago: 11/16-11/18 (...more comments on Hotel operation)

We stayed at the Drake Hotel in Chicago (7th floor too), from 11/16-11/18. Band conditions were awful and noted comments to that effect on the reflectors when we returned home.

Used K2#139 w/internal battery, a 20m 'dipole' (two 17' wires with alligator clips and 12' of coax), and Schurr Portable Wabblers. All this plus a Mouser charger, headphones, a Nikon 35Ti camera and cell phone fit neatly in a small Targus soft computer carrying case which was carried onboard (NOT checked through) our Southwest flight and stowed under the seat. Good news: the case sailed through airport security machines both coming and going. I was concerned about the security folks wanting to examine the K2 and had brought a small Philips screwdriver -- fortunately not needed.

The 17' wires were stretched along the outside walls of our corner room. The measured TX current @5w was consistent with a decent match/SWR, but the internal auto-tuner will be a most welcome addition - when its available to the rest of us :-)

No RF feedback, tingling, etc was evident. Very quiet on RX - A previous trip to NJ last August had been plagued by hotel-generated RF noise.

Only three QSO's, all around 14.060 (these guys must have extraordinary hearing): Geo, W1MMN (VT), Al, WA3PTY (PA), and Chuck, AF4PP (GA). Heard some very loud signals from UA9 Tues. PM but best I could do was get a K1? from one of them. Great fun despite poor conditions.

73,

John K1JD

Jamestown, RI

K2 SN's #139 & #583

G5RV at 40'

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 16:35:22 -0500

From: Thomas Isgro <k8cz@concentric.net>

To: n0ny@toad.net

Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [56929] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands

Message-ID: <3841A01A.4537@concentric.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

FWIW, I voted four times and they all counted. At any rate, they have a new survey up today.

"Could you pass the code test for your license class today?"

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 16:38:10 -0500
From: Thomas Isgro <k8cz@concentric.net>
To: ke5tc@crosstel.net
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56930] Re: qrz cw vote
Message-ID: <3841A0C2.825@concentric.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Final count for those interested was 62% Yes and 38% No

Try the new one!

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 15:40:33 CST
From: "Jeff Gold" <jeffmgold@hotmail.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56931] Elecraft SSB mike question
Message-ID: <19991128214033.96928.qmail@hotmail.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Hi,

not sure what is going on with Elecraft, since I unsubscribed I can't directly post to it, and I can't get them to email me back, so maybe one of you experts might know:

I have a Ten Tec HF 701 mike, will this work with the SSB adapter on the K2, anyone have a clue as to the correct wiring? If not, suggestions on purchasing a good mike that won't cost a fortune for the K2

thanks

72

Jeff, AC4HF

Get Your Private, Free Email at <http://www.hotmail.com>

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 15:42:30 CST
From: "Jeff Gold" <jeffmgold@hotmail.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56932] White Mountain 20 mtr SSB transceiver and 20 watt amp kit for sale
Message-ID: <19991128214230.90794.qmail@hotmail.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

I have the Small Wonders 20 meter SSB transceiver built and tested. I have the matching case and accessories with it. I also have a parts kit for a 20 watt amplifier-unbuilt.

I would like to get \$150 for all.. cost lots more

72
Jeff, AC4HF

Get Your Private, Free Email at <http://www.hotmail.com>

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 13:51:22 -0800
From: Ed Vines <evines@garlic.com>
To: kt4an@hotmail.com
Cc: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56933] Re: What kit to build???
Message-ID: <4.1.19991128134307.0094a960@pop.garlic.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Hi Mike,
I built the Red Hot 40 recently and it was my first kit in 40 years. Its a very fine radio and fun to build, but there are lots of parts. I t should give your wife plenty of time to do the painting job ! What is your secret ? If I could figure out how to get my wife to paint while I solder, I'd buy some more kits.

73,
Ed
KG6WU in Gilroy, CA

At 03:31 PM 11/26/1999 +0000, you wrote:

>Hi everyone,
> I am fairly new to QRP and to kit building. I have been running qrp on my
>qro rig but want to try my "inexperienced kit-building" hand at building a
>radio that I can use on the air and use qrp. This is a very exciting aspect

>of amateur radio for me, which I am sure is of no surprise to most of you.
>My question: what would be the best kit to build for someone who has only a
>little kit building experience? I am considering the dsw-20 or 40 from Small
>Wonder Labs. Is this a good choice? Are there others I should consider? The
>review of the DSW in CQ for Dec. makes it sound like a fairly??? simple rig
>to build. I would appreciate some input. The choice is to be my wife's
>Christmas present to me. (She wants to paint while I am busy with the
>radio!!!) Thanks for your comments.

>Mike KT4AN
>kt4an@hotmail.com

>

>

>-----
>Get Your Private, Free Email at <http://www.hotmail.com>

>

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 15:05:01 -0700
From: Doug <doug@wtp.net>
To: 70511.3041@compuserve.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56934] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW band
Message-ID: <3841A70C.CA81C886@wtp.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello Doc and the rest of the bunch.....

Reading the other polls taken on that web page, I get the distinct impression that it's read and perhaps produced by the anti Morse bunch. Ron Stark has it down pat.....the more of these "Codefree" licensees we put through the system, the more mass will be added to the argument to reduce or eliminate the CW bands. It doesn't take rocket science to see the direction these newcomers are taking our Hobby. I wonder about common sense in those who work so diligently to further load our ranks with these licensees, without, perhaps consideration of the result.

Let's face it, should the CW bands become phone territory, it's time to teach some blabbermouths about the better signal/noise ratio of Morse vs. Mic. I'd hate to see it become a conflict, but guess it could.

The upcoming battle for bandwidth has become obvious as the manufacturers run to catch up with a booming equipment market for new "Codeproof" Techs, and a profit in their eyes.

A few questions for you consideration:

Just how far do we go in letting our hobby slip into just a new form of CB?

How much does anyone think we could do to change its present direction? Is it too late to do so?

Pogo had it right....."we have seen the Enemy, and He is US!"

Best luck.....

73

Doug, K7YD

Livingston, MT

"Wilford D. Lindsey" wrote:

> Mike:

>

> Thanks for this invaluable note. I just went and "voted", and found the
> process extremely quick and easy. Here's hoping that *all* of us will take
> time to state our opinion.

>

> FOX Soap Box--Can anyone really imagine the FOX hunt under conditions like
> K0EVZ had to contend with last Wednesday evening? SSB was a killer that
> evening, and cost several hunters their pelts--I just couldn't pull them
> out of the QRM ;^(. Sure hope that we will always have at least as much BW
> as we do not.

>

> Anyway, EVERYONE go to www.qrz.com and express your opinion. The survey
> appears on the first page on the right hand side near the top. All you
> have to do is enter a check mark for "Yes" or "No" and then click on one
> more button to add your vote to the total. It was running about 2:1 when I
> was online earlier.

>

> 72,

> --Doc Lindsey/K0EVZ

> DSBF

> PO BOX 6028

> Bismarck, ND 58506

> K0EVZ@arrl.net

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 13:58:08 -0500

From: "Dave Benham" <dodgeboy@mindspring.com>

To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56935] Telex CB88 headset battery assistance
Message-ID: <01ac01bf39d2\$836c6e20\$41ef8ad1@hqa.chrysler.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have an old Telex "CB88" lightweight headset (headphone and microphone set) which needs a 7 volt battery to operate the amplifier. The battery that is in the unit is a RayOVac 675HR, as best as I can tell (the printing is pretty well worn off the case). I've searched a couple of battery sites and can't find a replacement for it. Does anyone know where I might find one? Or am I out of luck? I'd like to put this to use with the Argo II. Thanks.
Dave K8TRF

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 17:34:04 -0500
From: "Mike Yetzko" <myetzko@insydesw.com>
To: <doug@wtp.net>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56936] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW band
Message-ID: <001e01bf39f0\$d3ac9360\$de6efea9@dads-hp>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I agree!! But the bottom line is CW is going to go. Anyone who says otherwise is trying to delude others with wishfull thinking, and is either deluding themselves or is keeping this going for the entertainment value..

As to Dougs comment about rocket science.... I could ask how many of the astronauts worked CW for their entry into HAM radio? How many of the shuttle astronauts had any license OTHER than a tech-no-code at the time of their first flight into space where they worked as a HAM? Do you know Doug? It's not rocket science...

And yes, the HAM ranks are being flooded with non-CW people. People who could give a zit you know where on a rat about CW. But instead of bellyaching about the demise of 40M, which by the way is ALL people who HAVE passed a CW test, lets stop the lame BS about CBers, and instead worry about how to make CW and HF in general attractive instead of whining

about, and I'll quote Doug here, "more of these "Codefree" licensees we put through the system". Those people are getting in anyway, like it or not. And when they get in, do you want them to have a view of CW people as an 'enemy' right off the bat? WOW!! That will certainly win friends for and converts to CW!!

Doug and others "wonder about common sense in those who work so diligently to further load our ranks with these licensees" Well, maybe they DID consider the result, and it was better than the status quo.

Yes, it is time to sound the alarm. But moaning about CBers and "Codefree" HAMS is NOT the answer. It turns people off. We GOTTA have the talent somewhere to figure out what the heck comes next. No, not to keep the status quo, that's going out the window almost guaranteed. But to figure out how to attract the RIGHT kind of people, people who will add a spark to amateur radio, not just be a mindless automation on a key and nothing else.

Mike Yetzko
N1DVJ

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 17:38:44 -0500
From: "Ken Hanks" <ken.hanks@po.state.ct.us>
To: <k8cz@concentric.net>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [56937] Re: US Phone on 40-meter CW bands
Message-ID: <001801bf39f1\$628a5e00\$aafc93ccc@acer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

"Could you pass the code test for your license class today?"

After this weekend, yes, without breaking a sweat. Even on a bad day I could pass the 20WPM test.

Just for fun, I have taken the Extra written test (don't have the site address handy) and can still pass that too.

It is just like everything else in life, use it or lose it.

73,

Ken Hanks K1XS
Naugatuck, CT
Kennfd@snet.net
<http://www.qsl.net/k1xs>

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 17:54:53 -0500
From: Jeff Davis <jeff@n9avg.org>
To: QRP-L List <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [56938] the 40m poll on QRZ
Message-ID: <19991128175453.A1814@n9avg.org>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

You are never too old to learn, and what I've learned from this "poll" is that it is a terrific tool to drive people to your Web site!

I'm certain that's the real purpose behind the controversial polls; take a look at some of the other "polls" they have had: *Should The Code Requirement Be Eliminated*, *Is Ham Radio Dying*, etc.

Polls like that tend to get people stirred up and it has the intended effect of driving up the site's hit counter.

Relax. The FCC couldn't give a hill of beans what qrz.com thinks about spectrum reallocation! :-)

--
72 de Jeff, N9AVG

Date: Sun, 28 Nov 1999 16:27:08 -0600
From: k5zty@juno.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [56939] re: US phone and CW band
Message-ID: <19991128.165436.-27505.2.k5zty@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I thought that it was determined a long time ago that this list was not the place for this
CW vs SSB argument and name calling circus. Chuck abandoned the list

because of this crap and if you guys
keep it up Jim is going to shut the list off.
Don't be STUPID.

Bill, K5ZTY
Houston, TX

End of QRP-L Digest 1653

